

FOURTEENTH KERALA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

**COMMITTEE
ON
PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
(2016-2019)**

THIRTIETH REPORT
(Presented on 21st June, 2018)



**SECRETARIAT OF THE KERALA LEGISLATURE
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

2018

FOURTEENTH KERALA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

**COMMITTEE
ON
PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
(2016-2019)**

THIRTIETH REPORT

On

**Action taken by Government on the Recommendations
contained in the 93rd Report of the Committee
on Public Accounts (2004-2006)**

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
Composition of the Committee	.. v
Introduction	.. vii
Report	.. 1
Appendices:	
I : Summary of Main Conclusions/Recommendations	.. 14
II : Annexure-I	.. 15
III : Annexure-II	.. 16
IV : Annexure-III	.. 17
V : Annexure-IV	.. 18
VI : Annexure-V	.. 19

**COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
(2016-2019)**

Chairman:

Shri V. D. Satheesan.

Members:

Smt. P. Aisha Potty

Shri A. M. Ariff

Shri P. K. Basheer

Shri James Mathew

Shri K. Krishnankutty

Shri K. Kunhiraman

Shri K. Muraleedharan

Shri A. Pradeepkumar

Shri Mullakkara Retnakaran

Shri Roshy Augustine.

Legislature Secretariat :

Shri V. K. Babu Prakash, Secretary

Smt. S. Shahina, Joint Secretary

Shri P. P. Shahnawas, Deputy Secretary

Shri A. Jafar Khan, Under Secretary.

INTRODUCTION

I, the Chairman, Committee on Public Accounts, having been authorised by the Committee to present this Report, on their behalf present the 30th Report on Action Taken by Government on the Recommendations contained in the 93rd Report of the Committee on Public Accounts (2004-2006).

The Committee considered and finalised this Report at the meeting held on 19th June 2018.

Thiruvananthapuram,
19th June, 2018.

V. D. SATHEESAN,
Chairman,
Committee on Public Accounts.

REPORT

This Report deals with the Action Taken by the Government on the recommendations contained in the 93rd Report of the Committee on Public Accounts (2004-2006).

The 93rd Report of the Committee on Public Accounts (2004-2006) was presented to the House on 14th February 2006. The Report contained 12 recommendations relating to Water Resources department. Government was addressed on 18th October 2006 to furnish the statement of Action Taken on the recommendations contained in the Report and the final reply was received on 11th March, 2014.

The Committee examined the statements of action taken at its meetings held on 01-08-2007, 18-08-2010, 13-10-2010, 11-11-2010, 15-05-2012 and 20-08-2014. The committee was not satisfied with the Action Taken by the Department on the Recommendations contained in para Nos. 7 & 8 and decided to pursue further. With regard to para Nos. 41 to 46, the Committee conducted a field visit to the CADA Project area on 01-09-2007 and made certain remarks on the Project activities.

The recommendations, reply furnished there on and further recommendations of the Committee are included in Chapter I of this Report.

Moreover the Committee decided not to pursue further actions on the remaining recommendations in the light of the replies furnished by Government. Such recommendations and Government replies are incorporated in Chapter II of this Report.

CHAPTER I

Recommendations in respect of which Action Taken by Government is not satisfactory and which require reiteration

WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

Recommendation

(Sl. No. 1, Para No. 7)

1.1 The Committee points out the serious lapse on the part of the department which had let the contractor to escape the contractual obligations even though he had failed to complete the work. The officers of the department had no qualms in

awarding the work in re-tender to the same contractor who had not fulfilled his earlier contractual obligation. The Committee feels that the department should have terminated the work at the risk and cost of the contractor.

Action Taken

1.2 The original work was terminated without risk and cost based on the decision taken in the High level Committee meeting held on 22nd and 23rd April 1991 vide G.O.(Rt.) No. 719/91 letter dated 07-06-1991. The balance work was re-tendered in 1/92. But before issuing the selection notice to the selected contractor Shri K. N. Sathyapalan, the Hon'ble Sub Court ordered an injunction in a case filed by Dr. Raju Mathew restraining the department to proceed further. The selected contractor expressed his reluctance to extend the firm period when requested for the 2nd time and hence the tender was cancelled. So the work was retendered and Dr. Raju Mathew and other two tenderers participated in the tender. Being the lowest and the contractor not disqualified or blacklisted, the work was awarded to the same contractor as per the departmental norms and to avoid further litigations.

Further Recommendation

1.3 The Committee strongly recommends to initiate Civil Proceedings against the officers responsible for the loss sustained to Government in the work related to Chimony Dam and also to recover the amount after fixing responsibility.

Recommendation

(Sl. No. 2, Para No. 8)

1.4 The Committee desires to know the present position of the Vigilance enquiry being conducted into the alleged irregularities.

Action Taken

1.5 After completing investigation in Vigilance Case No. 11/97/TSR relating to the irregularities in the construction of Chimony Dam, charge sheet was filed before the Court of the Enquiry Commissioner and Special Judge, Thrissur on 08-04-2002 viz., CC No. 13/2002. There were 13 accused in the charge sheet and the offence charged was that the accused misappropriated Rs. 63,79,372 being the value of 54335 bags of cement and Rs. 10,48,357 being the value of 70.062 Kgs. of Steel. Dr. Raju Mathew, contractor is Accused 8 in the charge sheet.

Meanwhile Shri Lonappan Nambadan, formerly MLA in the petitions submitted and in the OP No. 27936/02 filed before the High Court, raised many other allegations including (1) procedural malpractices adopted in different nature of works and awarding of the works to a particular contractor (2) loss of one crore of rupees in making false records related to additional drilling and grouting (3) corruption in the work of stock-yard. (4) misappropriation of two crores of rupees on account of soil removed from the river etc. During the course of investigation into the above counts of allegations, the Enquiry Commissioner and Special Judge, Thrissur Suo-moto initiated CMP No. 264/02 taking cognizance of allegations raised in the construction of Chimony Dam. In order to assess the prima facie aspects regarding truthfulness or otherwise of the alleged irregularities, the Court ordered inspection of the Dam site by an expert team consisting of the Civil Engineer Vigilance & Anti-corruption Bureau, Professor and Head of Civil Engineering, Government Engineering College, Thrissur and Head of the Department of Civil Engineering, Maharajas Technical Institute, Thrissur. The Expert Committee on 17-12-2002 recommended non-destructive tests of nine items of work for assessing the strength, cement content and reinforcement detail of beams, slabs etc. On 19-03-2002 the Court of the Enquiry Commissioner and Special Judge, Thrissur addressed the Director, Structural Engineering Research Centre, Chennai for making arrangements to conduct the tests. Based on the administrative sanction accorded vide G.O.(Rt.) No. 47/205/Vig. dated 03-02-2005, and expenditure sanction vide G.O.(Rt.) No. 311/2005/Vig. dated 09-12-2005 the non-destructive tests were conducted by M/s Structural Engineering Research Centre, Chennai by collecting samples from the location pointed out by the Expert Committee from 24-04-2006 to 26-04-2006 and furnished their technical report in August 2006. After analyzing the technical report at various levels the further investigation ordered by the court has been completed and Factual Report is under preparation.

Further Recommendation

1.6 The Committee directs the department to furnish the factual report prepared on the investigations ordered by the court.

CHAPTER II

**RECOMMENDATIONS IN RESPECT OF WHICH THE
COMMITTEE DO NOT DESIRE TO PURSUE
FURTHER IN THE LIGHT OF THE REPLIES
FURNISHED BY THE GOVERNMENT
WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT**

Recommendation

(Sl. No. 3, Para No. 11)

2.1 The Committee notes that the Audit Report containing the para was presented in 1992, but the department has taken more than 11 years to come out with a concrete reply on the issue. The Committee seriously views the lapse. The Committee urges the department to adopt strict measures to adhere to the prescribed time limit set for furnishing replies to Audit Paras and to submit a detailed report of Action Taken in this regard.

Action Taken

2.2 Instruction has been issued to the Administrator, CADA to pursue timely action on the Audit Paras so as to avoid undue delay in furnishing replies in future.

Further Recommendation

2.3 The Committee recommended to take disciplinary action against the officers responsible for the undue delay in furnishing replies.

Action Taken

2.4 On verification of the old files, it is observed that timely actions were taken for preparing reply on the Recommendations of the PAC (Statement showing the calendar of action taken is appended). Regarding further recommendations of the PAC, the officers responsible for the undue delay in furnishing replies to the PAC recommendations had already been retired from service years back. Disciplinary action could not be initiated against them as

recommended by the PAC for the lapse on their part in the matter before their retirement. Now after a period of about 17 years, it is practically very difficult to take disciplinary action against them for the delay in furnishing timely reply to PAC recommendations. Hence, considering the above facts, further recommendations made by the PAC regarding disciplinary action against the officers responsible for the delay in furnishing timely reply to PAC recommendations may please be dropped.

Additional Recommendation

2.5 The Committee sought explanation from the Water Resources Department regarding inordinate delay caused in furnishing reply on the recommendations of the Committee.

Action Taken

2.6 In CADA, all the officers are working on deputation. Because of this a number of officers worked in different posts in CADA during the period from 1992 to 2003. This led to an embarrassing situation that each officer had to study the file afresh, which in turn took much time to process the file. A series of correspondence were seen done between the Accountant General, Administrator, Chief Engineer (I&A) and the Government to arrive at a concrete reply to the audit report. A calendar of correspondences in this regard in a chronological order, including the details of inspection report, has been annexed herewith as required. The disposal leading to the Government file 9579/CADA3/92/IRD, the file in which the audit paras might be dealt within, during the year 1992, is seen destroyed. Hence Government could not verify the facts at its level. Strict direction had been issued to the Administrator, CADA to pursue timely action on the audit paragraphs so as to avoid undue delay in furnishing replies in future. In future more care will be taken to adhere to the time limit fixed for replying to the audit paras. Also the original issue which led to the audit para had been settled. In the above circumstances, time taken for arriving at a concrete reply to the audit report may be condoned and the audit para may be dropped.

Recommendation

(Sl. No. 4, Para No. 38)

2.7 The Committee notes that the accumulation of unspent balance in the CADA account had led to a situation of surrendering Rs. 10.50 crores during 1996-97. The reason attributed for such a step was to avoid huge opening balance in the beginning of the financial year. The Committee desires to get the details of financial assistance received and the actual expenditure incurred during the financial years from 1991-92 to 1997-98.

Action Taken

2.8 Details of financial assistance received and actual expenditure incurred for the period from 1991-92 to 1997-98 is enclosed as Annexure I.

2.9 The Committee emphasized the need for preparing quarterly statement of receipts and expenditure and release of fund based on the same but decided not to pursue further as the functioning of CADA had been dissolved as per G.O.(Ms.)No.7/2017/WRD dated, 07-02-2017.

Recommendation

(Sl. No. 5, Para 39)

2.10 The Committee was informed that CADA activities (on Field Development Works) were started in some projects without preparing Project Reports. The Committee was also informed that detailed Project report on each project would be prepared on completion of project to serve as permanent record. The Committee desires to know the details of the projects in which such Reports were prepared.

Action Taken

2.11 The Project Reports have not been finalized. The Project Reports on all the 12 completed projects as and when prepared shall be furnished to the Committee.

Further Recommendation

2.12 The Committee vehemently criticises the department for undertaking the activities without preparing the Project Report. The Committee views this as a serious lapse and recommends to take disciplinary action against the officers concerned.

Action Taken

2.13 Completion Report of the following 11 projects is enclosed:

1. Chalakudy project
2. Peechi Project
3. Vazhani Project
4. Mangalam Project
5. Walayar Project
6. Malampuzha Project
7. Pothundy Project
8. Chitturpuzha Project
9. Gayathri Project
10. Kuttiyadi Project
11. Neyyar Project.

Regarding the Cheerakuzhi Project, the Administrator has informed that the works in this project were undertaken partly by CADA and Irrigation Department. Hence the details required for preparing the completion report were not readily available in CADA. The details are being collected from Irrigation Department. After collecting the available details, the report is to be drafted and the drawings, maps and other accompaniments are to be prepared and the entire matters are to be compiled into a bound volume.

Recommendation

(Sl. No. 6, Para No. 40)

2.14 The Committee notes that the field Channels were constructed with the intention of bringing water to every field. The Committee also notes that the maintenance of canals is being done by Irrigation Department on which the CADA has no control. Owing to poor maintenance of canals, the water discharge often does not reach to the field channels. Therefore, the Committee urges the Water Resources Department to introduce some permanent mechanism for the timely maintenance of canals,

Action Taken Statement

2.15 There is a permanent mechanism for the periodical maintenance of canals of all projects where water distribution is done. Budget allocation under Non-plan is made for all the completed projects for this purpose. Yearly maintenance of all canals are done using this allocation after preparing action plan for the purpose and approved by Chief Engineer. All maintenance are completed before starting the water distribution.

Recommendation

(Sl. No. 7, Para No. 41)

2.16 The Committee notes that the BFAs (Beneficiary Farmers Associations) are supposed to be the backbones of the CADA activities. But most of the BFAs formed later became dormant due to one or other reasons. The Committee feels that a concerted effort is needed to revive such societies. The financial support earmarked for the BFAs is also very meagre. Therefore, the Committee recommends that an effort should be made to revive the BFAs. The help of other agencies like, Agriculture Department (Agricultural Officers) and the Panchayats may also be sought in the revival process. The Committee requires the department to examine whether direct involvement of panchayat is possible in the Distribution system under the CADA Programme.

Action Taken

2.17 A concerted effort is taken to revive the dormant Beneficiary Farmers Associations (BFAs) in the 4 ongoing projects where Restructured CADWM programme of Government of India is now carried out. The new component of CADWM programme is called correction of system deficiencies which increases the efficiency of canal system. This has been started in the ongoing projects. This on the other hand is developing more interest on the part of BFAs. On introduction of Participatory Irrigation Management (PIM) the help of other agencies like Agricultural Officers and representatives from Panchayats can be sought in the revival process of BFAs.

PIM is proposed to be introduced in the irrigation projects which aims at involvement of representatives of farmers and representatives of Local Self Government in the operation and maintenance of canal system.

Recommendation

(Sl. No. 8, Para No. 42)

2.18 The Committee notes that in the CADA activities various types of training programmes such as half day training, one day training, one day camp for farm leaders, training for farm labourers, training tour to neighbouring states etc., are envisaged. But farmers participation in the above training programmes are very minimal. Therefore, the Committee urges that an evaluation of the training programmes should be made and if any shortcomings are found, that should be rectified. The Committee suggest that the question of giving some incentives like one day wage for farm labourers etc., should also be considered to make the programmes more attractive.

Action Taken-I

2.19 The Recommendation of the Committee was placed before the CADA meeting held on 19-10-2006 for consideration. The decision taken in the CADA meeting in this regard is appended for reference (Annexure II).

Action Taken-II

2.20 The recommendation of the Committee was placed before the 59th CAD Authority meeting held on 19-10-2006 and approved. Accordingly, Government as per letter No. 34061/CAD3/06/WRD dated 20-06-2009, has given direction to the Administrator, CADA to give incentive like one day wage to the farmers participating in training envisaged by CADA by utilising the funds provided under the scheme 'Participatory Irrigation Management' (PIM). As per G.O. (Rt.) No. 1237/09/WRD dated 7-11-2009 (copy enclosed) (Annexure III), the Administrative Sanction was accorded for meeting the expenditure for giving 'one day incentive.'

Recommendation

(Sl. No. 9, Para No. 43)

2.21 Adequate participation of farmers in water management is also important in achieving the full benefit of the CADA programmes. Therefore, efforts should be made to get maximum participation of farmers in CADA activities. Such a participation can be ensured only by making enough water available at their disposal. The volume of water available and the supply timing, optimum utilization of available water etc., are also essential for proper water management system. Proper advice should be given to the farmers to adopt agricultural pattern according to the availability of water. By strengthening the Agricultural Wing in CADA such activities can be done effectively.

Action Taken

2.22 Proper advice and guidance is being given to the farmers to adopt suitable cropping patterns according to the availability of water. One of the major extension activities of the Agriculture wing of CADA is to conduct regular field visit and contact the Karshaka Samithies for motivation of the adoption of new technologies for increased crop production and optimum water use. The Agriculture wing of CADA has achieved sufficient strength to cover this activities effectively.

Recommendation

(Sl. No. 10, Para No. 44)

2.23 The Committee notes that in CADA programmes there are provisions for adaptive trials with the objective of trying the adaptability of advanced technologies in agriculture developed by the Universities and the Research Institutes and also to chalk out new cropping and irrigation pattern in each project. The Committee emphasises the need to promote such adaptive trials. Through these trials the department can also watch the adaptability of a new technology or a new breed. A promising technology or breed should be given maximum promotion and while doing so it should be ensured that the farmers are getting better results.

Action Taken

2.24 While formulating adaptive trials for implementation in the project areas the newer technologies evolved at the various Research Stations of Kerala Agricultural University are selected. Thus it includes experimentation of high yielding improved varieties of various crops, different agronomical practices novel technologies adopted in plant protection, Irrigation Management etc., in the farmers fields. In accordance with the guidelines on adaptive trials for attracting the farmers to the new schemes and for involving the farmers to adopt the technology, incentives are provided in the form of cost of treatment which form part of the total expenditure. Timely and proper advice and guidance is also extended by the field officials of CADA to the practicing farmers to get better results.

Recommendation

(Sl. No. 11, Para No. 45)

2.25 The Committee notes that there is permanent mechanism in the CADA establishment to monitor, to study and to evaluate the various activities carried out by them. Further, reports about projects and the details of performance of each projects are available in the department. The Committee desires to get the details of study undertaken by CADA on paddy and vegetable cultivation and also on standing crop like coconut.

Action Taken

2.26 A total of 45 evaluation reports have been published by the CADA Evaluation Wing as on 31-03-2006. The Annual Reports on Yield Estimation Survey on Paddy has been published for the years 1986-87 to 2003-04. Being a Perennial crop, study report on coconut is published for a period of ten years together. A report on principal crops including coconut has been published for the years 1985-86 to 1994-95 in the year of 1997. No study on vegetable cultivation is conducted by Evaluation Wing due to lack of field staff.

Recommendation

(Sl. No. 12, Para No. 46)

2.27 The Committee notes that the project reports for 'Recalibration of water logged areas in irrigation commands', submitted to the Government of India was approved. The Committee desires to know whether the scheme has been implemented and if implemented, the details of the amount so far invested and the progress achieved in each project may be intimated to the Committee.

Action Taken

2.28 The Schemes have been implemented. The details of amount so far invested and the progress achieved on each project are attached as annexure (Annexure IV).

2.29 Subsequent to the consideration of Action Taken Statements on paras 41 to 46 the Committee conducted a field visit on the CADA project area and made certain observations as follows:

(a) All crops including Commercial Crops had to be covered under CADA project as Kerala follows a mixed cropping pattern.

(b) The repair and maintenance of the field Canals in Project areas had to be discussed with the Beneficiaries, Local Bodies and Engineers so that technical aspects also may be looked into.

(c) Participation of the beneficiaries and Local Bodies had to be ensured while preparing the project Reports.

(d) The Project Management Committee had to be strengthened with the Participation from the Local Bodies, Agricultural Department Beneficiaries, MLA and MP and this Committee could monitor the project activities and issue necessary guidelines required for the effective implementation of the project activities.

2.30 However the Committee decided not to pursue further as the functioning of CADA had been dissolved as per G.O.(Ms.)No.7/2017/WRD dated, 07-02-2017.

Thiruvananthapuram,
19th June, 2018.

V. D. SATHEESAN,
Chairman,
Committee on Public Accounts.

APPENDIX-I

SUMMARY OF MAIN CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

Sl. No.	Para No.	Department Concerned	Conclusion/Recommendations
1	1.3	Water Resources Department	The Committee strongly recommends to initiate Civil Proceedings against the officers responsible for the loss sustained to Government in the work related to Chimony Dam and also to recover the amount after fixing responsibility.
2	1.6		The Committee directs the department to furnish the factual report prepared on the investigations ordered by the court.

ANNEXURE-I

COMMAND AREA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

PAC REPORT (93rd)

Para -38

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE RECEIVED AND EXPENDITURE INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD FROM 1991-92 TO 1997-98

(50% CSS and 100% SSS)		(Rs. in lakhs)
YEAR	RECEIPT(Budget Release)	EXPENDITURE INCURRED
1991-92	1380.500	1289.645
1992-93	1780.000	1164.555
1993-94	1963.520	1786.948
1994-95	2100.000	1668.644
1995-96	2068.000	1639.891
1996-97	1050.000	1853.686
1997-98	2100.000	1994.482

NOTE :- The figures are prepared on the basis of Annual Accounts of CADA for the respective years.

J. Lopez
J. LOPEZ
Joint Secretary
Resources Dept
Secy. Unit
1124070

ANNEXTURE-II

ഇനം 7 : മറ്റിനങ്ങൾ

(2)പബ്ലിക് അക്കൗണ്ടസ് കമ്മിറ്റി (2004-06) യുടെ 93-ാമത് റിപ്പോർട്ടിലെ

പാഠ. നമ്പർ (42) ലെ ശുപാർശ നടപ്പാക്കുന്നത് സംബന്ധിച്ച്.

കാമ്പായുടെ വിവിധ പദ്ധതികളിൽ നടത്തപ്പെടുന്ന ട്രെയിനിംഗ് പ്രോഗ്രാമുകളിൽ സജീവ പങ്കാളിത്തം ഉറപ്പാക്കുവാൻ പബ്ലിക് അക്കൗണ്ടസ് കമ്മിറ്റി 93-ാമത് റിപ്പോർട്ടിൽ ഖണ്ഡിക - 42 നീർദ്ദേശിച്ച ശുപാർശകൾ കാമ്പാ അതോറിറ്റി യോഗത്തിൽ ചർച്ച ചെയ്യുകയുണ്ടായി. കാമ്പായുടെ കീഴിൽ വിവിധ പദ്ധതികളിൽ ഇപ്പോൾ നടപ്പിലാക്കി വരുന്ന കേന്ദ്ര ഗവണ്മെന്റിന്റെ സി.എ. ഡി.ഡബ്ലിയു എം. പ്രോഗ്രാമിൽ കർഷകർക്കും നേതൃ കർഷകർക്കുമുള്ള പരിശീലന പരിപാടികളാണ് നടപ്പിലാക്കി വരുന്നത്. അവരുടെ സജീവ പങ്കാളിത്തം ഉറപ്പു വരുത്താൻ കർഷകർക്ക് കൂടുതൽ ആഭിമുഖ്യമുള്ള സബ്സിഡിയോടു കൂടി ഡെമോൺസ്ട്രേഷൻ പ്രോഗ്രാം കൂടുതൽ സ്ഥലത്ത് സ്തംഭകമായി നടപ്പിലാക്കാൻ വേണ്ട സംസ്ഥാന കേന്ദ്രഗവണ്മെന്റിൽ നിന്നും അനുവദിക്കാൻ ശുപാർശ ചെയ്യാൻ തീരുമാനിച്ചു. സി.എ.ഡി.ഡബ്ലിയു എം. പ്രോഗ്രാമിൽ ഇപ്പോൾ കർഷക തൊഴിലാളികൾക്കുള്ള ട്രെയിനിംഗ് നടത്തിവരുന്നുണ്ട്. കർഷക തൊഴിലാളികൾക്കുള്ള ട്രെയിനിംഗ് പ്രോഗ്രാം നടപ്പിലാക്കാൻ സാധിക്കുന്ന സ്കീം അനുവദിച്ചുകിട്ടുമ്പോൾ അനുകൂല സാന്നിദ്ധ്യം ഉറപ്പുവരുത്തുന്നതിലേക്കായി ഒരു ദിവസത്തെ വേതനം (One Day Wage) പോലെയുള്ള ആനുകൂല്യം ട്രെയിനിംഗിൽ പങ്കെടുക്കുന്നവർക്ക് നൽകുന്നത് വളരെ ആകർഷകമായിരിക്കും എന്ന് സംസ്ഥാന സർക്കാരിലേക്ക് ശുപാർശ ചെയ്യാൻ കാമ്പാ അതോറിറ്റി യോഗം തീരുമാനിച്ചു.

Juan Lopez
 JOAN LOPEZ
 Additional Secretary
 Water Resources Group
 Govt. Secretariat
 Ph. 23241-0.

ANNEXTURE-III



GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

Command Area Development Authority - PAC (2004-06) 93rd Report -
 Recommendation of Para No 42 - Implementation - incentive for training programme of
 Farm labourers - Sanction accorded - Orders issued

WATER RESOURCES (CAD) DEPARTMENT

G O (RI) No 1237/2009/WRD Dated, Thiruvananthapuram, 07.11.2009

Read -1 Govt letter No.34061/CAD3/06/WRD dated 20.06.2009

2 Letter No.2545/2006/Agn/CADA dated 13.07.09 from the Administrator,
 CADA, Thrissur.

ORDER

As per the Recommendation of para 42 of 93rd Report of Public Accounts Committee (2004-06), Government have decided to implement the above Recommendation in CADA alongwith other activities in the current financial year itself, a scheme of giving training to the Farm labourers/Beneficiary Farmers Association and they can be given incentive like One day wage by utilizing the funds provided under the scheme Participatory Irrigation Management.

The Administrator, CADA in the letter read as 2nd paper above, has requested to give necessary directions in this regard.

In the above circumstances, Government are pleased to accord Administrative Sanction for implementation of the Recommendation of the Public Accounts Committee in Para 42 of its 93rd Report (2004-06) in CADA alongwith other activities for giving training to the Farm labourers/Beneficiary Farmers Association and giving incentive like one day wage in the ongoing CAD Projects by utilizing the funds provided under the Head of Account "2/05-00-800-98 Participating Irrigation Management" from the current financial year onwards.

By Order of the Governor.

ANNIEAMMA JOSEPH
 FINANCE OFFICER & ADDL SECRETARY

To

The Administrator, CADA Project Headquarters, Chembukau, Thrissur
 The Accountant General (A&E)/(Audit) Thiruvananthapuram
 The Water Resources (CAD3) Dept (for release of funds)
 The SF/DC

Forwarded by Order

L. Jayakrishnan
 Section Officer

ANNEXTURE-IV

COMMAND AREA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
RECLAMATION OF WATERLOGGED AREAS
(50% CSS)

Sl no	Name of project	Area Proposed (ha)	Period	Cumulative Achievement upto 2005-06		Remarks
				Physical (ha)	Financial (Rs. in lakhs)	
CAD PROGRAMME COMPLETED PROJECTS						
1	Malampuzha	2322.04	1999-2004	2215.59	207.688	
2	Walayar	548.00	1999-2004	506.00	54.208	
3	Pothundy	381.81	1999-2004	381.81	42.638	
4	Gayathri	431.95	1999-2003	431.95	42.860	
5	Mangalam	378.67	1999-2004	378.67	36.512	
6	Peechi	3004.89	1999-2004	3001.89	370.199	
7	Vazhani	282.00	1999-2004	281.70	34.570	
8	Cheerakkuzhi	Sanction not obtained.....				
9	Chalakkudi	1237.23	1999-2004	1045.83	134.954	
10	Neyyar	2420.18	1999-2004	2085.18	292.335 *	
11	Chitturpuzha	1394.96	1999-2004	1389.38	149.257	
12	Kuttiady	3272.05	2000-2005	2450.00	242.534 *	
CADWM PROGRAMME ONGOING PROJECTS						
13	PerriyarValley	2058.19	1999- continuing	1261.00	109.590 **	
14	Pamba	3089.85	1999- continuing	864.00	87.510 **	
15	Kanhirapuzha	1600.00 (revised)	2003- continuing	1218.00	117.886 **	
16	Pazhassi	1000.00	2003- continuing	382.09	34.620 **	
TOTAL		23421.82		17913.09	1957.361	

* Some reclamation works could not be completed due to peculiar site condition of flooding prevailing in the area

** Reclamation works are in progress in the ongoing projects under CADWM Programme

J. S. Srinivasan

(രൂപ കോടികളിൽ)	
കുടിവെള്ള വിതരണം	5136.75
ഗവൺമെന്റ് സ്കൂൾ	9.53
കോൺഗ്രസ്സ് സ്കൂൾ	122.98
പ്രൈവറ്റ് സ്കൂൾ	37.54
ആകെ സ്കൂൾ	170.07
RR-വേലി നവീകരണപദ്ധതികൾ	461.97
പദ്ധതി/ കോൺഗ്രസ്സ് സ്കൂൾ	66.18
ഗവൺമെന്റ് സ്കൂൾ	
പ്രൈവറ്റ് സ്കൂൾ	
കോൺഗ്രസ്സ് സ്കൂൾ	225.38
പ്രൈവറ്റ് സ്കൂൾ	132.77
ആകെ സ്കൂൾ	1081.89
ആകെ സ്കൂൾ	2964.02
കുടിവെള്ള വിതരണം	
കുടിവെള്ള വിതരണം	982.23
പദ്ധതി/ കോൺഗ്രസ്സ് സ്കൂൾ	426.81
ഗവൺമെന്റ് സ്കൂൾ	
പ്രൈവറ്റ് സ്കൂൾ	
കോൺഗ്രസ്സ് സ്കൂൾ	754.88
ആകെ സ്കൂൾ	5.38
കുടിവെള്ള വിതരണം	1105.10

രൂപ കോടികളിൽ	
കോൺഗ്രസ്സ് സ്കൂൾ	557.73
പ്രൈവറ്റ് സ്കൂൾ	364.03
ആകെ സ്കൂൾ	1084.36
കുടിവെള്ള വിതരണം	
കുടിവെള്ള വിതരണം	992.29
കോൺഗ്രസ്സ് സ്കൂൾ	
പ്രൈവറ്റ് സ്കൂൾ	1008.21
ആകെ സ്കൂൾ	2.01
കുടിവെള്ള വിതരണം	1909.80

ഗവൺമെന്റ് സ്കൂൾ (കോൺഗ്രസ്സ് സ്കൂൾ) വിതരണം നവീകരണ പദ്ധതികൾ ഉൾപ്പെടെയുള്ള സ്കൂൾ

കുടിവെള്ള വിതരണം	2814.70
ഗവൺമെന്റ് സ്കൂൾ	49.56
കോൺഗ്രസ്സ് സ്കൂൾ	558.34
പ്രൈവറ്റ് സ്കൂൾ	1261.36
ആകെ സ്കൂൾ	1879.86
പദ്ധതി/ കോൺഗ്രസ്സ് സ്കൂൾ	326.81
ഗവൺമെന്റ് സ്കൂൾ	
പ്രൈവറ്റ് സ്കൂൾ	
കോൺഗ്രസ്സ് സ്കൂൾ	109.81
പ്രൈവറ്റ് സ്കൂൾ	6.59
കുടിവെള്ള വിതരണം	301.55

80
SUDHEPA DESY
Additional Secretary to Govt
Public Works, Govt Secretariat,
Thiruvananthapuram

©
Kerala Legislature Secretariat
2018

KERALA NIYAMASABHA PRINTING PRESS.